

Eliminate Use of Closed Compartments

Space Propulsion Technology
Assessment Workshop

April 2001

Current Baseline

Eliminate Use of Closed Compartments

- Desire is to eliminate the closed boattail compartment and the requirement for a broad heat shield in the compartment
- Very major impact on operations
 - Access to most propulsion components is here
 - Limited access with severe safety related restrictions
 - Special Equipment
 - Purged
 - Two people have died servicing this compartment
 - Result is long timelines and large logistic requirements

Problem

Eliminate Use of Closed Compartments

- During ascent
 - Vents are opened from this compartment and the pressure is reduced
 - Compartment fills with turbulent recirculated hot gases
 - Significant radiant heat input from thrust augmentation devices (SRBs)
- Heat shield is to protect heat sensitive components (e.g., hydraulics) from failure
- Solution must remove/replace/refresh hot gases and remove the need for the heat shield

Technologies to Implement Solutions (TRLs)



Eliminate Use of Closed Compartments



- Eliminate broad area heat shield
 - Eliminate hydraulics and other distributed heat sensitive systems where possible
 - EMAs (5)
 - EHAs (4/5)
 - Shadow with other components, and/or insulate specific, local heat sensitive areas (5/6+)
 - Placement of thrust augmentation devices (Design)
- Eliminate closed compartment
 - Open or truss boattail (3/4)
 - Duct ram air to boattail (4)
 - Aerodynamic shaping of rear of vehicle and/or boattail (4)

Cost to Mature Technology

Eliminate Use of Closed Compartments

- There would probably be an added cost to the development program for a large scale, detailed test of the final implementation approach in wind tunnel or flight

\$100K	
\$500K	
\$1M	
\$5M	
\$10M	
\$30M	
\$50M	
\$100M	
\$500M	

6 Mo	
1 Yr	
18 Mo	
2 Yr	
3 Yr	
4 Yr	
5 Yr	
5 Yr+	